https://doi.org/10.47432



PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL **ENGINEERING AND VETERINARY SCIENCES**

An Official International Biannual Publication of Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam, Pakistan Volume 39 (1) June, 2023

SINDH AGRICULTURE UNIVERSITY TANDOJAM

http://pjaaevs.sau.edu.pk/index.php/ojs





Pak. J. Agri., Agril. Engg., Vet. Sci., 2023, 39 (1): 37-44 ISSN: 1023-1072 (Print), ISSN: 2663-7863 (Online) https://doi.org/10.47432/2023.39.1.7



EFFECT OF MASTITIS ON MILK COMPOSITION OF CROSS-BRED (HOLSTEIN FRIESIAN X CHOLISTANI) AND SAHIWAL CATTLE

T. Ahmad¹, A. Ghafoor², M. Nadeem³, M. Q. Bilal⁴ and A. Sharif⁵

¹Department of Clinical Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan ²Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

³Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Sub-Campus Khushab, Pakistan ⁴Department of Livestock Management, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan ⁵Department of Livestock and Dairy Development, South Punjab, Government Poultry Farm, Bahawalpur, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

The present study was designed to investigate the effect of mastitis on various components including fat percentage, protein, total solids, solid not fat, pH, specific gravity and lactose content in milk of cross-bred (Holstein Friesian x Cholistani) and Sahiwal cattle. A total of 376 milk samples (excluding blind and nonfunctional teats) from 100 cows (50 cross-bred and 50 sahiwal) were collected aseptically. Animals of different ages, parity and stages of lactation were selected under field condition. The diagnosis of subclinical mastitis was done on basis of Surf Field Mastitis Test. For bacterial examination of milk, the samples were grown in laboratory and various biochemical tests were performed. The results of the present study indicated that solid not fat (SNF) of mastitic sahiwal and cross-bred cattle and total solids percentage of normal and mastitic cattle were significantly reduced as the severity of mastitis increased in animals. The milk pH of normal and mastitic cattle including both sahiwal and cross-bred was significantly increased in severe cases of mastitis. The specific gravity of normal and mastitic milk samples showed that there was no significant difference in specific gravity as compared to normal. The protein percentage of normal and mastitic cattle including both sahiwal and cross-bred cattle indicated that protein concentration was significantly reduced in severe cases of mastitic animals. The findings of present study highlighted that mastitis cause major alterations in composition of milk leading to poor quality of the milk.

Keyword: cross-bred, mastitis, milk composition, sahiwal cattle

INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is inflammation of functional tissue of udder leading to tissue damage which ultimately results in loss of milk production. Mastitis may be apparent (clinical) or hidden/overt (subclinical) (Radostitis et al., 2000). Mastitis also results in decreased quality of milk and risk for health of consumer (DeVleighter et al., 2005).

According to National Mastitis Council Inc. of USA sub-clinical mastitis causes 70-80% loss of milk (Philpot and Nickerson, 1991). The major dairy animals of Pakistan are buffalo and cow share 95% in total milk production. However, mastitis is the most important disease of dairy animals (Khan and Khan, 2006).

Micro-organisms responsible for intramammary infection are Staphylococcus aureus,

Streptococcus agalactiae. Staphylococcus hyicus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, bacillus spp., Staphylococcus hominis, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus xylosus, Streptococcus dysgalactiae, corynebacterial spp. etc. (Ali et al., 2008). S. aureus is of very high economic importance (Tollersrud et al., 2000). S. aureus along with Str. agalactiae contribute 75% of total mastitis which is followed by environmental pathogens especially E. coli (Akinden et al., 2001; Katsuda et al., 2005). S. aureus is responsible for chronic infection while E. coli causes acute infection (Smith and Hogan, 1993). Infections due to staphylococcus cause reduction in milk production, decreases milk quality due to increased somatic cell count in milk and cause further bad effect on milk composition (Bilal and Ahmad, 2004). S. aureus has the ability to produce surface associated

^{*}Corresponding author: aamirsharifcheema@gmail.com



products and protein toxins which may be virulent (Haggar *et al.*, 2003; Harraghy *et al.*, 2003) and resultantly increase somatic cell count of milk.

Sub-clinical mastitis is easily diagnosed by a cheap and on spot field test i.e., Surf Field Mastitis Test (SFMT) (Muhammad et al., 1995). The clinical mastitis is detectable due to the apparent changes in milk and appearance of udder. Discoloration, watery milk, clots in milk, swelling of udder etc. are symptoms of clinical form of mastitis. The processing of milk from mastitic infected dairy animal is also not economical as well as unhealthy (Muhammad et al., 1995). Limited literature is available on effect of sub-clinical mastitis on milk composition in cross-bred and sahiwal cattle. The present study has been planned to investigate the effect of severity of mastitis on milk protein, fat, pH, specific gravity, lactose, sold not fat, total solids and bacteriology of milk in cross-bred and sahiwal cattle.

Objectives

- To observe the effect of mastitis on milk protein, fat, pH, specific gravity, lactose, sold not fat and total solids of milk.
- To observe the effect of mastitis on bacteriological examination of milk.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Study animals

Out of 400 quarters from 100 cows (50 crossbred and 50 sahiwal) 24 blind and non-functional teats were excluded and remaining 376 quarter milk samples were collected aseptically. The first few streaks were discarded and 150ml of milk samples were collected from each quarter. All the animals were inspected for clinical and subclinical mastitis. Animals in first week of lactation and those in the last two month of lactation were excluded.

Gross examination of mammary glands

Udder was examined visually for any abnormally, blind quarters, fibrosis, inflammation, atrophy, injury, tick infestation etc. and information was recorded.

Collection of milk samples

Mammary glands were washed with clean fresh water to remove dung material and the quarters were scrubbed with 70% alcohol solution and dried with clean cloth. Quarter milk samples were subjected to SFMT (Muhammad *et al.*,

1995) and processed further for determination of milk fat, protein, pH, specific gravity, lactose, sold not fat, total solids and bacteriology of milk. Each sample was labeled as LF (Left Front), LR (Left Rear), RF (Right Front) and RR (Right Rear).

Diagnosis of sub-clinical mastitis

SFMT was performed as described (Muhammad et al., 1995) for diagnosis of sub-clinical mastitis.

Examination of milk

The collected milk samples were transported to laboratory of Haleeb Foods Limited, Lahore and processed for examination of fat, protein, SNF, total solids, pH using electronic pH meter, specific gravity (Davide, 1973), milk lactose (Egan et al., 1981) and bacteriological examination of milk as described by NMC (2004).

Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed as described by Steel *et al.* (1997). The means were further analyzed by Duncan's Multiple Range as described by Montgomery (1997).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study 376 quarter milk samples (excluding blind and nonfunctional teats) from 100 cows (50 cross-bred and 50 sahiwal) were collected. Abnormalities of mammary glands are shown in (Table 1).

Table 1. Percentage of various abnormalities recorded in Sahiwal and cross-bred cattle

Quarter abnormalities	Cross-bred (%)	Sahiwal (%)
Blind	2	1
Fibrosis	3	1
Atrophied	1	2
Injured	2	1
Aberrations	3	2
Ticks	4	2

abnormalities Recorded quarter of mammary glands having blind. fibrosis. atrophied, injured, aberrations and ticks were 2, 3, 1, 2, 3 and 4% respectively, in cross-bred cattle. Recorded quarter abnormalities of having mammary glands blind, fibrosis, atrophied, injured, aberrations and ticks were 1, 1, 2, 1, 2 and 2% respectively, in sahiwal cattle

SFMT based prevalence of mastitis

Prevalence of sub-clinical mastitis bases on SFMT was conducted Out of 376 milk samples, 217 (57.71%) were found negative, the degree of mastitis as found in between positive and

negative (Traces T) were 27 (7.18%), in first degree (P₁) of mastitis were74 (19.68%), in second degree (P₂) were 39 (10.37), and in 3rd degree of mastitis (P₃) were 19 (5.05%). Among the positive cases of SFMT maximum number of cases found in first degree were 74 (19.68%) out of 159 (42.29%) positive quarters. Our results of SFMT for detection of subclinical mastitis indicated the prevalence of 57.71% subclinical mastitis in sahiwal and cross-bred cattle under filed conditions (Table 2).

Table 2. SFMT based prevalence of mastitis

SFMT Score	No. of Quarters	Prevalence (%)
N	217	57.71
T	27	7.18
P ₁	74	19.68
P ₂	39	10.37
P ₃	19	5.05
Total =	376	100

SFMT based quarter wise prevalence of mastitis

Quarter wise prevalence of sub-clinical mastitis based on SFMT was conducted. Out of 92 right rear (RR) quarters, quarters found in N, T, P_1 , P_2 and P_3 were 53, 7, 16, 11 and 5 respectively. Out of 95 right front (RF) quarters, quarters found in N, T, P_1 , P_2 and P_3 were 57, 6, 21, 7 and 4 respectively. Out of 101 left rear (LR) quarters, quarters found in N, T, P_1 , P_2 and P_3 were 58, 9, 18, 8 and 8 respectively. Out of 88 left front (LF) quarters, quarters found in N, T, P_1 , P_2 and P_3 were 49, 5, 19, 13 and 2 respectively (Table 3).

Table 3. SFMT based quarter wise prevalence of mastitis

SFMT	RR	RF	LR	LF	Total
Normal	53	57	58	49	217
Т	07	06	09	05	27
P ₁	16	21	18	19	74
P ₂	11	07	08	13	39
P ₃	05	04	08	02	19
Total =	92	95	101	88	376

SFMT based result of subclinical mastitis indicated overall 57.71% prevalence in sahiwal and cross-bred cattle under field condition. Our results coincide with the results of previous studies. Fazal-ur-Rehman (1995) found quarter wise prevalence of 64% subclinical mastitis in dairy animals. Bachaya *et al.* (2005) determined the prevalence of 58.75% of subclinical mastitis in lactating animals on the basis of SFMT. Pal *et al.* (1989) determined that subclinical mastitis was 23.08% in cattle on the basis of bacteriological examination.

Fat (%) of normal and mastitic cattle at various scores of SFMT

The mean fat percentage of normal and mastitic cattle is represented in (Table 4). The results showed that fat concentration was significantly reduced as the severity of mastitis increased in animals. Milk fat % of normal and mastitic sahiwal and cross-bred cattle is shown in (Table 4). There was non-significant difference between control and trace group. Results indicated that no significant difference between P₁, P₂ and P₃. However fat contents were significantly reduced in P₃ followed by P₂ and P₁ (Table 4).

Results indicated that fat percentage was significantly reduced as severity of mastitis increased in animals. There was non-significant difference among the normal and traces group. The findings of our study indicated that, there was significant decrease in fat percentage between strongly positive (P3) mastitic crossbred and sahiwal cattle. Similarly, Wielgoszgroth and Groth (2003) also indicated that fat percentage was significantly reduced with severity of mastitis. In our study maximum milk fat was recorded in N grade quarters while minimum milk fat was found in P3 grade quarters. Previously researchers also reported decrease in milk fat with severity of mastitis Abdel-Galil and Nassib (1980) observed decrease in fat percentage in mastitic quarters. Mandal and Raheja (1985) reported decrease in percentage of milk fat from 5.18 to 5.06% with increasing mastitis severity. Shahin and Haggag (1987) reported 7.28% of milk fat in healthy buffaloes while 4.02% in mastitic buffaloes. Mahran et al. (1992) reported 18% decreased fat in mastitic quarters as compared to healthy quarters. Hortet et al. (1998) reported reduced milk fat due to mastitis in dairy cows. The decrease in milk fat may be due to malfunction of udder parenchyma in mastitis infection.

In our study highest milk protein percentage was found in negative group and the minimum in SFMT P_3 grade mastitic quarters. Mert *et al.* (1992) had reported decreased milk protein percentage from 0.92 to 0.82 gm in mastitis. Researchers also documented decreased milk protein in mastitis (Hortet *et al.*, 1998; Urech *et al.*, 1998; Rawdat and Omaima, 2000) in mastitis infection. The decrease in milk protein percentage in mastitis cases is due to malfunction of udder parenchyma in mastitis infection as a result the protein synthesis is reduced in mastitis cases.

The results indicated that SNF was significantly reduced as the severity of mastitic increased in animals. There was non-significant difference between P_1 , P_2 and P_3 . However solid not fat contents were significantly reduced in P_3 followed by P_2 and P_1 . There was non-significant difference of mean value of solid not fat concentration among the normal and traces group. The findings of our study also indicated that, there was significant decrease among the mean value of solid not fat concentration in strongly positive (P_3) mastitic cross-bred and

sahiwal cattle. The results indicated that milk solid not fat of mastitic sahiwal and cross-bred cattle was significantly reduced as the severity of mastitic increased. Similarly, researchers also found a net decrease in SNF with mastitis (Wielosz-groth and Groth 2003; Rowland et al., 1959). The decrease in SNF percentage in mastitis cases is due to malfunction of udder functional tissue in mastitis infection as a result the SNF percentage is reduced in mastitis cases.

Table 4. Mean ± SE of milk fat (%) of normal and mastitic Sahiwal and cross-bred cattle

Group			Sahiwal catt	le		Cross-bred cattle				
	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean
Control	5.03 <u>+</u> 0.03	4.99+0.08	5.03+0.05	4.87 <u>+</u> 0.03	4.98+0.03a	4.34 <u>+</u> 0.06	4.17 <u>+</u> 0.04	4.17 <u>+</u> 0.04	4.06 <u>+</u> 0.01	4.18±0.03 ^d
Traces	4.94 <u>+</u> 0.03	4.85±0.07	4.85±0.05	4.80 <u>+</u> 0.02	4.86±0.02b	4.24 <u>+</u> 0.02	4.11 <u>+</u> 0.01	4.10 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.95+0.02	4.10±0.03 ^{de}
P ₁	4.73 <u>+</u> 0.03	4.75±0.03	4.75 <u>+</u> 0.04	4.73 <u>+</u> 0.03	4.74±0.02°	4.02 <u>+</u> 0.01	4.06 <u>+</u> 0.02	4.02 <u>+</u> 0.03	4.01 <u>+</u> 0.03	4.03±0.01e
P ₂	3.69 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.67±0.05	3.67 <u>+</u> 0.04	3.73 <u>+</u> 0.03	3.69+0.02f	3.45±0.03	3.41 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.51 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.44 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.45+0.01g
P ₃	3.16 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.16 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.08 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.27 <u>+</u> 0.13	3.17 <u>+</u> 0.03 ^h	2.82±0.04	2.70 <u>+</u> 0.05	2.74 <u>+</u> 0.02	2.68 <u>+</u> 0.01	2.74+0.02i
Overall Mean	4.31 <u>+</u> 0.17 ^a	4.29 <u>+</u> 0.17 ^a	4.27 <u>+</u> 0.18 ^a	4.28 <u>+</u> 0.15 ^a	4.29 <u>+</u> 0.08 ^a	3.77 <u>+</u> 0.13 ^b	3.69 <u>+</u> 0.13 ^{bc}	3.71 <u>+</u> 0.12°	3.63 <u>+</u> 0.12 ^c	3.70 <u>+</u> 0.06°

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.001).

Table 5. Mean ± SE of milk protein (%) of normal and mastitic Sahiwal and cross-bred cattle

Group			Sahiwal			Cross-bred				
	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean
Control	3.78 <u>+</u> 0.04	3.70 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.68 <u>+</u> 0.04	3.67 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.71±0.02a	3.61 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.54 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.53±0.03	3.49 <u>+</u> 0.04	3.54 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^c
Traces	3.73±0.03	3.64 <u>+</u> 0.06	3.60 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.62 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.64 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^{ab}	3.55 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.48 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.47 <u>+</u> 0.03	3.44 <u>+</u> 0.04	3.48±0.02 ^{cd}
P ₁	3.61 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.68 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.57 <u>+</u> 0.03	3.65 <u>+</u> 0.07	3.63±0.02b	3.43 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.43±0.02	3.43 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.37 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.41 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^d
P_2	3.21 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.25 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.23 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.24 <u>+</u> 0.03	3.23±0.01e	3.16 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.13±0.01	3.51 <u>+</u> 0.03	3.11 <u>+</u> 0.01	3.14 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^f
P ₃	2.83±0.03	2.75±0.02	2.72 <u>+</u> 0.01	2.60 <u>+</u> 0.05	2.72+0.03g	2.45+0.01	2.3 <u>+</u> 0.03	2.27 <u>+</u> 0.01	2.24 <u>+</u> 0.01	2.33+0.02h
Overall	3.43 <u>+</u> 0.08	3.40 <u>+</u> 0.09	3.36 <u>+</u> 0.08	3.35 <u>+</u> 0.10	3.39+0.04a	3.24 <u>+</u> 0.10	3.19 <u>+</u> 0.09	3.17 <u>+</u> 0.11	3.13±0.11	3.18±0.05b
Mean	_	_				Ī -	_	_	_	

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.001).

Table 6. Mean ± SE milk solids not fat (%) of normal and mastitic Sahiwal and cross-bred cattle

Group		Sahiwal					Cross-bred				
	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	
Control	8.58±0.11a	8.58±0.03 ^a	8.63±0.03 ^a	8.63±0.03 ^a	8.62 <u>+</u> 0.03	8.46 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^{bc}	8.46 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^{bc}	8.42 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^{bc}	8.51 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^{ab}	8.46 <u>+</u> 0.02	
Traces	8.53±0.10 ^{ab}	8.54 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^{ab}	8.55 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^{ab}	8.51 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^{ab}	8.53±0.03 ^{ab}	8.40±0.02bc	8.39±0.02bc	8.34±0.02bc	8.45±0.05bc	8.40 <u>+</u> 0.02	
P ₁	8.50+0.05 ^{bc}	8.46+0.02 ^{bc}	8.42+0.01 ^{bc}	8.51+0.01 ^{bc}	8.47+0.01	8.20+0.02 ^c	8.18+0.01°	8.25+0.03°	8.14+0.02 ^d	8.19+0.01	
P_2	7.03 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^e	7.04 <u>+</u> 0.01e	7.86 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^d	7.80+0.08 ^d	7.43+0.10	7.50+0.08 ^{de}	7.55+0.05 ^{de}	7.52+0.06 ^{de}	6.76+0.08 ^f	7.33+0.02	
P ₃	5.65 <u>+</u> 0.06 ^g	5.61 <u>+</u> 0.03 ^g	5.61 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^g	5.46 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^{gh}	5.58 <u>+</u> 0.03	5.29 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^h	5.23 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^h	5.26 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^h	5.23 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^h	5.25 <u>+</u> 0.01	
Overall	7.68±0.27 ^{bc}	7.66±0.27bc	7.81±0.26 ^a	7.78±0.27 ^{ab}	7.73±0.13 ^a	7.57 <u>+</u> 0.27	7.56±0.28bc	7.56±0.27 ^{bc}	7.42±0.03°	7.53 <u>+</u> 0.14 ^b	
Mean											

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.001).

Table 7. Mean + SE milk Total Solids of normal and mastitic Sahiwal and Cross-bred cattle

	Sahiwal					Cross-bred				
RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	
13.54 <u>+</u> 0.13	13.58 <u>+</u> 0.05	13.33±0.33	13.23 <u>+</u> 0.52	13.42 <u>+</u> 0.15	12.96 <u>+</u> 0.10	12.98 <u>+</u> 0.16	13.13 <u>+</u> 0.22	13.18 <u>+</u> 0.08	13.0 <u>+</u> 0.07	
13.48±0.14	13.51 <u>+</u> 0.04	13.23 <u>+</u> 0.32	13.15 <u>+</u> 0.52	13.34 <u>+</u> 0.15	12.85 <u>+</u> 0.11	12.81 <u>+</u> 0.07	13.03 <u>+</u> 0.21	13.11 <u>+</u> 0.09	12.95 <u>+</u> 0.07	
13.05+0.06	12.65 <u>+</u> 0.06	12.60 <u>+</u> 0.02	12.65 <u>+</u> 0.04	12.74 <u>+</u> 0.05	12.40 <u>+</u> 0.04	12.40 <u>+</u> 0.05	12.71 <u>+</u> 0.24	12.89 <u>+</u> 0.03	12.60 <u>+</u> 0.08	
10.95 <u>+</u> 0.06	10.80 <u>+</u> 0.04	10.79±0.03	10.72 <u>+</u> 0.16	10.81 <u>+</u> 0.03	10.35 <u>+</u> 0.09	10.30 <u>+</u> 0.09	10.38 <u>+</u> 0.03	10.38 <u>+</u> 0.02	10.35 <u>+</u> 0.03	
10.09 <u>+</u> 0.05	9.48 <u>+</u> 0.18	9.63 <u>+</u> 0.01	9.49 <u>+</u> 0.06	9.67 <u>+</u> 0.08	8.24 <u>+</u> 0.03	8.31 <u>+</u> 0.20	8.65 <u>+</u> 0.06	8.35 <u>+</u> 0.06	8.39 <u>+</u> 0.06	
12.22 <u>+</u> 0.33 ^a	12.00 <u>+</u> 0.37 ^{ab}	11.91+0.34ab	11.85+0.36bc	11.99+0.17a	11.36+0.42d	11.36+0.42d	11.58±0.41 ^{cd}	11.58+0.44cd	11.47 <u>+</u> 0.21 ^b	
	13.54±0.13 13.48±0.14 13.05±0.06 10.95±0.06 10.09±0.05	13.54±0.13 13.58±0.05 13.48±0.14 13.51±0.04 13.05±0.06 12.65±0.06 10.95±0.06 10.80±0.04 10.09±0.05 9.48±0.18	13.54±0.13 13.58±0.05 13.33±0.33 13.48±0.14 13.51±0.04 13.23±0.32 13.05±0.06 12.65±0.06 12.60±0.02 10.95±0.06 10.80±0.04 10.79±0.03 10.09±0.05 9.48±0.18 9.63±0.01	RR FR LR LF 13.54±0.13 13.58±0.05 13.33±0.33 13.23±0.52 13.48±0.14 13.51±0.04 13.23±0.32 13.15±0.52 13.05±0.06 12.65±0.06 12.60±0.02 12.65±0.04 10.95±0.06 10.80±0.04 10.79±0.03 10.72±0.16 10.09±0.05 9.48±0.18 9.63±0.01 9.49±0.06	RR FR LR LF Mean 13.54±0.13 13.58±0.05 13.33±0.33 13.23±0.52 13.42±0.15 13.48±0.14 13.51±0.04 13.23±0.32 13.15±0.52 13.34±0.15 13.05±0.06 12.65±0.06 12.60±0.02 12.65±0.04 12.74±0.05 10.95±0.06 10.80±0.04 10.79±0.03 10.72±0.16 10.81±0.03 10.09±0.05 9.48±0.18 9.63±0.01 9.49±0.06 9.67±0.08	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.001).

Table 8. Mean ± SE milk pH of normal and mastitic Sahiwal and Cross-bred cattle

Group	Sahiwal					Cross-bred				
-	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	RR	RF	LR	LF	Mean
Control	6.69±0.06 ^h	6.65±0.02 ^h	6.67±0.03 ^h	6.43±0.09	6.61±0.04 ^{de}	6.59±0.04 ^j	6.50±0.05 ^j	6.57±0.05 ^h	6.64±0.06 ^h	6.57±0.03 ^g
Traces	6.71±0.01 ^g	6.70±0.01 ^g	6.71±0.01 ^g	6.70±0.01 ^g	6.70±0.004 ^{de}	6.70±0.01 ^g	6.71±0.01 ^g	6.68±0.004 ^g	6.83±0.05 ^{ef}	6.73±0.02 ^{cd}
P ₁	6.76±0.04 ^{ef}	6.78±0.02 ^{ef}	6.78±0.01 ^{ef}	6.80±0.01 ^{ef}	6.78±0.01°	6.83±0.03 ^{ef}	6.81±0.04 ^{ef}	6.65±0.06 ^f	6.63±0.05 ^f	6.73±0.03 ^{cd}
P_2	7.05±0.07 ^{de}	7.25±0.06°	745±0.06 ⁹	743±0.099	7.29±0.05 ^a	6.94±0.04°	7.06±0.01 ^d	7.39±0.20ab	7.14±0.06 ^{cd}	7.12±0.04 ^g
P ₃	7.24±0.08°	7.35±0.03bc	7.35±0.06bc	7.30±0.07 ^{bc}	7.31±0.03 ^a	7.33±0.11bc	7.40±0.09 ^g	7.25±0.05°	7.35±0.03 ^{bc}	7.33±0.04 ^a
Overall	6.89±0.06	6.94±0.07	6.99±0.08	6.93±0.09	6.94±0.04 ^b	6.88±0.06	6.89±0.07	6.90±0.08	6.91±0.07	6.90±0.04 ^a
Mean										

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.001).

Table 9. Mean ± SE milk specific gravity of normal and mastitic Sahiwal and cross-bred cattle

Group	Sahiwal	Sahiwal					Cross-bred				
	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	RR	RF	LR	LF	Mean	
Control	1.028±0.001	1.027±0.002	1.028±0.001	1.029±0.001	1.028±0.002	1.030±0.001	1.030±0.001	1.030±0.002	1.028±0.001	1.029±0.002	
Traces	1.020±0.001	1.020±0.001	1.020±0.001	1.20±0.001	1.20±0.001	1.021±0.001	1.021±0.001	1.021±0.001	1.021±0.001	1.021±0.021	
P ₁	1.027±0.001	1.027±0.002	1.028±0.001	1.027±0.001	1.027±0.001	1.028±0.02	1.029±0.001	1.028±0.001	11.028±0.001	1.028±0.001	
P_2	1.027±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.027±0.001	1.026±0.001	1.026±0.001	1.026±0.001	1.026±0.001	1.027±0.001	1.027±0.001	1.026±0.001	
P_3	1.024±0.001	1.024±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.024±0.001	1.024±10.001	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	
Overall	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.025±0.001	1.026±0001	1.026±0001	1.026±0001	1.026±0001	1.026±0001	
Mean											

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.001).

Table 10. Mean ± SE milk Lactose of normal and mastitic Sahiwal and Cross-bred cattle

Group			Sahiwal			Cross-bred					
	RR	FR	LR	LF	Mean	RR	RF	LR	LF	Mean	
Control	4.68±0.05	4.66±0.06	4.80±0.04	4.83±0.01	4.74±0.03 ^A	4.64±0.11	4.84±0.04	4.85±0.03	4.57±0.03	4.73±0.04 ^A	
Traces	4.3±0.05	4.60±0.05	4.76±0.03	4.80±0.02	4.70±0.03 ^a	4.70±0.02	4.81±0.03	4.79±0.03	4.52±0.02	4.70±0.03 ^a	
P ₁	4.61±0.02	4.63±0.03	4.55±0.05	4.60±0.04	4.60±0.02 ^b	4.37±0.07	4.25±0.06	4.65±0.07	4.70±0.03	4.71±0.06 ^a	
P ₂	4.12±0.07	4.19±0.08	4.17±0.01	4.18±0.03	4.16±0.03°	4.04±0.02	4.04±0.01	4.04±0.02	4.20±0.01	4.03±0.01 ^d	
P ₃	3.68±0.05	3.50±0.05	3.16±0.03	3.12±0.03	3.67±0.06f	2.86±0.05	2.82±0.03	2.85±0.02	2.81±0.03	2.83±0.02 ^e	
Overall	4.34±0.09 ^a	4.32±0.01 ^{ab}	4.29±0.14 ^{ab}	4.31±0.15 ^{ab}	4.31±0.06 ^b	4.12±0.16 ^d	4.15±0.17 ^{cd}	4.23±0.17 ^{bc}	4.12±0.16 ^d	4.16±0.08 ^a	
Mean											

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.001).

The results about total solids indicated that total solids concentration was significantly reduced as the severity of mastitis increased in animals. There was non-significant difference between P1, P2 and P3. However total solids contents were significantly reduced with severity of mastitis. Similar results are also reported by researchers (Wielosz-groth and Groth, 2003; Rowland et al, 1959). The results showed that pH value was significantly increased as the severity of mastitis increased in animals. There was non-significant difference between control and traces, and results also indicated that no significant difference found between P1, P2 and P₃. However, pH value was significantly increased in P3 followed by P2 and P1. The milk pH of normal and mastitic cattle including both sahiwal and cross-bred was significantly increased in severe cases of mastitic animals. These values are similar to the results of previous researchers (Haggag et al., 1991; Wielgosz-groth and Gorth, 2003; Batavani et al., 2007) who also reported increased pH in mastitis cases. The normal milk has pH towards acidic trend but in mastitis the pH increases and tends towards basicity. This increase in pH may be due to abnormality in udder function, more influx of salts and free radicals (Na+, K+, Cl-, etc.) in udder during mastitis infection.

The results indicated that, there was non-significant difference between control and traces. Result also indicated that no significant difference found between P_1 , P_2 and P_3 . In our study on significant difference of milk specific gravity was found at different grades of subclinical mastitis. In contrast to our results the previous studies reported that the specific gravity of milk reduced in severe cases of

mastitis (Horvath et al., 1980; Haggeg et al., 1991 and Charjan et al., 2000). Mean lactose contents were calculated with respect to severity of mastitis based on score of SFMT. Mean lactose content found in control case i.e., Surf Field Mastitis Test was negative (4.74%), mean lactose content for T, P₁, P₂ and P₃ was 4.70, 4.60, 4.16 and 2.83%, respectively. Previous study also found that there was significant decrease in lactose contents with severity of mastitis. Reichmuth (1975) and Kitchen (1987) observed that mastitis result in tissue damage leading to the decrease synthesis of lactose. Other researchers also described that the lactose decreased during mastitis (Bering and Shook, 1992; Hamann and Kromker 1997; Rawdat and Omaima 2000; Coulon et al., 2002; Pvorala 2003: Silva et al., 2000). The decrease in milk lactose percentage in mastitis cases is due to malfunction of udder functional tissue in mastitis infection as a result the synthesis of milk lactose in udder is reduced in mastitis cases.

Table 11. Microbiological examination of milk, identification and percentage of bacteria

Sr. No.	Name of Bacteria	Number	Percentage (%)
1.	S. aureus	66	41.5
2.	Str. agalactae	43	27
3.	Bacillus spp.	02	1.2
4.	E. coli	08	05
5.	Corynebacterium	05	3.14
6.	Mix	35	22
Total =		159	100

Microbiological examination of milk was done for identification of bacteria in T, P_1 , P_2 and P_3 cases of SFMT Score. Out of total 159 quarter milk samples the *S. aureus w*as found in 66 cases (41.5%), *Str. agalactae* in 43 cases (27%), bacillus spp. was found in 2 cases (1.2%), *E. coli* was found in 8 cases (5%),

Corynebacterium was found in 5 cases (3.14%) and Mixed infection was found in 35 cases (22%) as shown in (Table 11). *S. aureus* is one of the most pathogenic bacteria in mastitis and can invade in all types of cells in udder and is difficult to control. Due to intracellular localization in epithelial cells the response of *S. aureus* to antibiotic treatment is low and long lasting and persistent infection occurs (Dhakal *et al.*, 2007).

CONCLUSION

It was concluded that mastitis altered the milk composition of both cross-bred (Holstein Friesian × Cholistani) and Sahiwal cattle resultantly fat, solid not fat, total solids, lactose and protein percentage decreased, while pH increased, however specific remained unchanged.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

- **T. Ahmad:** Conceived and designed the experiments.
- **A. Ghafoor:** Performed the experiments. **M. Nadeem:** Contributed materials/ tools.
- M. Q. Bilal: Analysed the data.A. Sharif: Wrote the paper.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Galil, H. and T. A. Nassib. 1980. Mastitic milk and its effect on white cheese manufacture. Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal, 7 (13/14): 149-159.
- Akinden, O., C. Annemüller, A. A. Hassan, C. Lammler, W. Wolter and M. Zschöck. 2001. Toxin genes and other characteristics of Staphylococcus aureus isolates from milk of cows with mastitis. Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immmunology, 8(5): 959-964.
- Ali, L., G. Muhammad, M. Arshad, M. Saqib and I. J. Hassan. 2008. Bacteriology of mastitis in buffaloes in Tehsil Samundri of district Faisalabad, Pakistan. *Yeast*, 1: 0-47.
- Bachaya, H. A., Z. Iqbal, G. Muhammad. A. Yousaf and H. A. Ali. 2005. Subclinical mastitis in buffaloes in Attock district of Punjab. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 25 (3): 134-136.
- Batavani, R. A, E. Mortaz, K. Falahian and M. A Dawoodi, 2003. Study on frequency, etiology and some enzymatic activities of subclinical ovine mastitis in Urmia, Iran. Small Ruminant Research, 50: 45-50.
- Bering, L. M. and G. E. Shook. 1992. Prediction of mastitis using milk somatic cell count, N-

- acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase and lactose. Journal of Dairy Science. 75 (7): 1840-1848.
- Bilal, M. Q. and A. Ahmad, 2004. Dairy hygiene and disease prevention. Usman and Bilal Printing Linkers, Faisalabad. Pakistan.
- Charjan, K. P., N. S. Mangle, D. R. Kalorey and S. V. Kuralkar. 2000. Changes in milk pH and the levels of Na and K in whey associated with udder health status of cow. Indian Veterinary Journal, 77 (12): 1066-1068.
- Coulon, J. B., P. Gasqui, J. Barnoun, A. Ollier, P. Pardel and P. Dominique. 2002. Effect of mastitis and related germ yield and composition during naturally occurring udder infections in dairy cows. Animal Research, 51 (05): 383-393.
- Davide, C. L. 1973. Laboratory guide in dairy chemistry practical. FAO regional dairy development and training center for Asia and the pacific. Dairy Training and Research Institute, University of Philippines Los Bamos Collge, Langnene.
- DeVligher, S, H. W. Barkema H. Stryhn, G. Opsomer and A. de Kruif. 2005. Impact of Early Lactation Somatic Cell Count in Heifers on Milk Yield Over the First Lactation, Journal of Dairy Science, 88: 938-947.
- Dhakal, I. P., P. Dhakal, T. Koshihara and H. Nagahata. 2007. Epidemiological and bacteriological survey of buffalo mastitis in Nepal. Journal of Veterinary Medical Science, 69 (12): 1241-1245.
- Egan, H., R. S. Kirk and R. Sawyer, 1981. Pearson's Chemical analysis of Foods 8th Ed. Longman Scientific and Technical London.
- Fazal-ur-Rehman. 1995. Studies on: (1)
 Evaluation of surf field mastitis test for the detection of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes and cattle, and (II) antibiotic susceptibility of the pathogens. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty Veterinary of Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Haggag, H. F., L. F. Hamzawi, G. A. Mahran and M. M. Ali. 1991. Physico-chemical properties of colostrum and clinical and subclinical mastitic buffalo milk. Egyptian Journal of Dairy Science, 19 (1): 55-63.
- Haggar, A., M. Hussain, H. Lönnies, M. Herrmann, A. Norrby-Teglund and J. I. Flock. 2003. Extracellular adherence protein from Staphylococcus aureus enhances internalization into eukaryotic cells. Infection and Immunity, 71 (5): 2310-2317.

- Hamann, J. and V. Kromker, 1997. Potential of specific milk composition variables for cow health management, Livestock Production Science, 48 (3): 201-208.
- Harraghy, N., M. Hussain, A. Haggar, T. Chavakis, B. Sinha, M. Herrmann and J. I. Flock. 2003. The adhesive and immunomodulating properties of the multifunctional Staphylococcus aureus protein Eap. Microbiology, 149 (10): 2701-2707.
- Hortet, P. and H. Seegers. 1998. Loss in milk yield and related composition changes resulting from clinical mastitis in dairy cows. Preventive veterinary medicine, 37 (1-4): 1-20.
- Horvath, G., A. I. H. Mohamed, J. Varga, G. Szemeredi and L. Quarini. 1980. Effect of sub-clinical mastitis on milk composition. Magyar Allatorvaosok Lapja, 35 (9): 615-619.
- Katsuda, K., E. Hata, H. Kobayashi, M. Kohmoto, K. Kawashima, H. Tsunemitsu and M. Eguchi. 2005. Molecular typing of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from bovine mastitic milk on the basis of toxin genes and coagulase gene polymorphisms. Veterinary Microbiology, 105 (3-4): 301-305.
- Khan, M. Z. and A. Khan. 2006. Basic fact of mastitis in dairy animals: A review. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 26 (4): 204-208.
- Kitchen, B. J. L. G. Durward, G. Middleton and R. J. Andrews. 1978. A comparison of Nacetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase) activity, somatic cell count, Wisconsin Mastitis Test, conductivity and sodium and potassium level in quarter milk samples. International Dairy Congress. pp. 179-180.
- Mahran, G. A., L. F. Hamzawi, H. F. Haggage and M. M. Ali. 1992. Chemical composition of buffalo colostrums and buffalo milk in mastitic animals. Egyptian Journal of Food Science Egypt, 20 (1): 67-83.
- Mandal, P. C. and R. K. Reheja, 1985. Influence of mastitis on the milk lipids in Indian buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). Arechiva-Veterinary, 17: 100-103.
- Mert, N., M. Tayar, M. Ogan, A. Muftuoglue and M. Yavuz. 1992. Biochemical changes in the milk of cows with sub-clinical mastitis. Veteriner-Fakultesi-Dergisi, Uludag Unverstites. 11 (2): 13-18.
- Montgomery, D. C. 1997. Design and analysis of experiments. Arizona State University John Wieley and Sons. New York, USA.
- Muhammad, G., M. Athar, A. Shakoor, M. Z. Khan, F. Rehman and M. T. Ahmad. 1995.

- Surf Field Mastitis Test: An inexpensive new tool for evaluation of wholesomeness of fresh milk. Pakistan Journal of Food Science, 5: 91-93.
- NMC, 2004. Microbiogical procedures for the diagnosis of Bovine Udder Infection. National Mastitis Council Inc. Wilson Boulevard, Arlington V. A. USA.
- Pal, B., B. B. Verma and R. S. Prasad. 1989. A note on diagnosis of subclinical mastitis and in vitro drug sensitivity test of bacterial isolates. Indian Veterinary Journal, 66 (8): 785-787.
- Philpot, W. N. and S. C. Nickerson. 1991. Mastitis: Counterattack. Babson Bros. Co., Illinois, USA.
- Pyorala, S. 2003. Indicators of inflammation in the diagnosis of mastitis. Veterinary Research, 34 (5): 565-578.
- Radostitis, O. M., C. C. Gay, D. C. Blood and K. W. Hinchliff. 2000. Veterinary Medicine: A Textbook of the Diseases of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses, 9th Ed. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, USA, pp. 603-610.
- Rawdat, A. M. and N. M. Omaima. 2000. Some biochemical change in serum and milk of mastitic buffaloes. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research, 78 (4): 1737-1747.
- Reichmuth, J. 1975. Somatic cell counting-Interpretation of results. Page 93 in Proc. IDF Seminar on Mastitis Control, Intl. Dairy Fed., Brussels.
- Rowland, S. J., F. K. Neave, F. H. Dodd and J. Oliver. 1959. The effect of Staphylococcus pyogenes infections on milk secretion. In 15th International Dairy Congress, London, pp. 121-127.
- Shahin, Y. and H. F. Haggag. 1987. The free fatty acids content in buffalo's milk as affected by mastitis. Assiut Journal of Agriculture Science, 18 (4): 335-341.
- Silva, L.F.P., A. R. Pereira, P. F. Machado and G. A. Sarries, 2000. Efeito do nivel de celulas somaticas sobre os constituinted do leite. II- lactose esolidos totais. Brazilian Journal Veterinary Research and Animal Science, 37 (4): 2000.
- Smith, K. L. and J. S. Hogan. 1993. Environmental mastitis. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice, 9 (3): 489-498.
- Steel, R. G. D., J. H. Torrie and D. Dickey. 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach, 3rd ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc., New York.

- Tollersrud, T., K. Kenny, A. J. Reitz Jr and L. C. Lee. 2000. Genetic and serologic evaluation of capsule production by bovine mammary isolates of Staphylococcus aureus and other Staphylococcus spp. from Europe and the United States. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 38 (8): 2998-3003.
- Urech, E., Z. Puhan and M. Schällibaum. 1999. Changes in milk protein fraction as affected
- by subclinical mastitis. Journal of Dairy Science, 82 (11): 2402-2411.
- Wielgosz-Groth, Z., and I. Growth. 2003. Effect of the udder health on the composition and quality of quarter milk from Black-and-White cows. Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities. Series Animal Husbandry, 2(06).

(Received: July 07, 2022; Accepted: January 2, 2023)