

### ISSN 1023-1072

Pak. J. Agri., Agril. Engg., Vet. Sci., 2015, 31 (2): 221-228

# ESTIMATION OF HETEROSIS AND HETEROBELTIOSIS FOR YIELD AND FIBER TRAITS IN F<sub>1</sub> HYBRIDS OF UPLAND COTTON (GOSSYPIUM HIRSUTUM L.) GENOTYPES

A. W. Baloch<sup>1</sup>, A. M. Solangi<sup>1</sup>, M. Baloch<sup>1</sup>, G. M. Baloch<sup>1</sup> and S. Abro<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Nuclear Institute of Agriculture, Tandojam, Pakistan

## **ABSTRACT**

Heterosis and heterobeltiosis were estimated for eight yield and fiber traits in nine F<sub>1</sub> hybrids of upland cotton. Genotypes were highly significant (P 0.01) for all the characters thus indicated existence of greater genetic variability among the genotypes for studied traits. On the basis of mean performance, the parental line Sadori showed best performance for some traits (sympodial branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, bolls plant<sup>-1</sup>, boll weight, seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and lint %). Among the F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, the hybrid BT-802 x Sadori performed well for various traits (plant height, bolls plant<sup>-1</sup>, boll weight and seed index). Results regarding heterotic performance in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, maximum heterosis and heterobeltiosis were achieved for sympodial branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, bolls plant<sup>-1</sup>, boll weight, lint% and seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. Positive heterosis over mid- and better parents for seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup> ranged from 32.86 to 57.26% and 12.33 to 42.69%, respectively. Overall, current study demonstrated that female lines, NIA-OKRA-01 and BT-802, exhibit greater heterosis and heterobeltiosis in crosses with Sadori and IR-3701 for seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and fiber traits. Therefore, above parental lines could efficiently be exploited for hybrids development in cotton crop.

**Keywords:** Heterobeltiosis, heterosis, upland cotton, yield and fiber traits.

# INTRODUCTION

Greater seed cotton yield has been the ultimate goal of cotton breeding programs. The seed cotton yield is the final product of various yield components including sympodial branches, boll number, boll weight, etc. In order to meet the challenges of 21<sup>st</sup> century, the great efforts are needed for the genetic improvement of cotton crop in respect to yield and fiber quality traits in current era than before, because of a low production per unit area and low fiber quality in Pakistan when compared with other cotton growing nations of the world. Seed cotton yield and its associated traits are quantitative traits and controlled by many

Corresponding author: balochabdulwahid@yahoo.com

genes, hence showing variable values in segregating generation (Rauf et al., 2005).

Heterosis is the increase in performance of a hybrid in regard to the parental average, and can be assumed with positive or negative values (Aguiar *et al.*, 2007). Since last couple of decades, the heterosis has gained more attention from cotton breeders (Karademir and Gencer, 2010). Previously, the heterosis had been exploited in achieving higher production in vegetatively propagated plants (Grivet *et al.*, 1996). However, in recent times, the induction of different approaches such as usage of gametocides, identification of male sterile lines and plants with imperfect-flower plants in many species, created possibilities to get more advantages from heterosis.

Cotton breeders are always interested to develop new cotton varieties with high yield and better fiber quality, and it has been a unique target of all cotton breeders. It has been reported that yield heterosis in cotton crop is genetically controlled due to additive and dominance effects (Marani, 1967). Heterosis has often been practiced in cotton, however to be of prospective value, a hybrid should be more advantageous than best existing commercial cultivar. This refers that the hybrid would have greater yield and superior fiber quality. Using heterosis to improve yield and fiber quality of cotton has long been a purpose of researchers. Therefore, the present study was aimed to estimate heterosis and heterobeltiosis in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.).

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The current experiment was conducted at Nuclear Institute of Agriculture (NIA), Tandojam, during 2012. The experimental material comprised of three female lines (NIA-OKRA-01, BT-802 and BT-703) and three male testers (IR-2620, IR-3701 and Sadori) and their respective nine F<sub>1</sub> hybrids. The seeds of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were obtained by line x tester mating design. The parental lines and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were sown in randomized complete block design with three replications. The distance between row to row and plant to plant was 75 and 30 cm, respectively. In total, ten plants of each genotype per replication were tagged at random to record the data for plant height (cm), sympodial branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, bolls plant<sup>-1</sup>, boll weight (g), seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (g), lint %, staple length (mm) and seed index (100 seed weight, g). For determining the differences among the genotypes, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984), while heterosis was calculated as proposed by Fehr (1987) which is given as under:

$$ext{Heterosis} = rac{ ext{F}_1 - ext{Mid Parent}}{ ext{Mid Parent}} X 100$$
 $ext{Heterobeltiosis} = rac{ ext{F}_1 - ext{Better Parent}}{ ext{Better Parent}} X 100$ 

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of variance revealed that genotypes, parents,  $F_1$  hybrids and parents vs  $F_1$  hybrids were highly significant (P 0.01) for all the studied characters, suggesting that sufficient genetic variability is existed in the present materials which can further be utilized to produce improved cotton varieties (Table 1). Similar to these results, Shah *et al.* (2015) also reported significant variations for the characters including bolls plant boll weight and seed cotton yield plant Based on the mean performance, the parental line Sadori showed better performance for various traits i.e. sympodial branches plant (32.40), bolls plant (35.33), boll weight (3.17 g), seed cotton yield plant (111.21 g) and lint% (46.63) (Table 2). Among  $F_1$  hybrids, NIA-OKRA-01 x Sadori demonstrated outstanding performance for sympodial branches plant (23.73), boll weight (3.47 g), lint% (49.90) and seed cotton yield plant (140.98 g) (Table 2). Mean performance reflects that these both (parent and  $F_1$  hybrid) genotypes may prove potential plant genetic material for development of improved cotton varieties, thus can extensively be utilized in further breeding programs.

The results revealed that the occurrence of heterosis was general and its degree varied with the traits. Considering the positive heterotic effects of plant height in  $F_1$  hybrids, it varied from 3.34 to 14.15% and 2.66 to 13.36% for heterosis and heterobeltiosis, respectively (Table 3). Highest heterosis (14.15%) and heterobeltiosis (13.36%) were calculated from cross NIA-OKRA-01 x Sadori. However, it is a well known fact that moderate heterosis is quite useful for plant height, thus medium tall plants may be considered for further selection. Three hybrids expressed moderate heterosis i.e. BT-802 x IR-2620, BT-703 x Sadori and BT-703 x IR-3701. These findings are in conformity with those of Abro *et al.* (2009) and Patil *et al.* (2011) who also obtained moderate heterosis for plant height.

About sympodial branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, the positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis was ranged from 7.45 to 32.57% and 2.98 to 21.18%, respectively (Table 3). The maximum positive heterosis was manifested by NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-2620 (32.57%) and the maximum positive heterobeltiosis was shown by the cross BT-802 x IR-3701 (21.18%). The previous workers like Abro *et al.* (2014) have also observed positive heterotic and heterobeltiotic values and proposed sympodia plant<sup>-1</sup> as appropriate selection criteria for high yielding hybrids.

All the nine hybrids expressed positive heterotic and heterobeltiotic effects for bolls plant (Table 3), indicating that all parents used in the current study possess greater genetic distance for this trait which is a good indicator for future breeding programs since it helps to enhance seed cotton yield plant The cross NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-3701 exhibited maximum heterosis (40.74%) and heterobeltiois (24.59 %) for bolls plant offering that this F<sub>1</sub> hybrid has worth to be utilized in cotton hybrid breeding programs. Vineela *et al.* (2013) also observed high heterobeltiosis and commercial heterosis for bolls plant which was correlated with higher seed cotton yield. For boll weight, the highest heterosis of 22.66% was produced by hybrid BT-703 x IR-3701 which also

revealed the highest heterobeltiosis of 14.23% (Table 3), thus indicating the occurrence of dominant genes in these parents for boll weight. The obtained results are in conformity with Seoudy *et al.* (2014) who also reported fair amount of heterosis and heterobeltiosis for boll weight. Present results suggested that un-tapped resources of hybrid BT-703 x IR-3701 may be utilized for hybrid crop development to improve boll weight. Regarding the heterosis for seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4), the hybrid BT-802 x IR-3701 exhibited maximum amount of heterosis (57.26%) which was also associated with highest heterobeltiosis (42.69%). However F<sub>1</sub> hybrid NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-3701 was second in rank to show maximum heterosis (55.70%) and heterobeltiosis (37.30%) for seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. Consequently, these F<sub>1</sub> hybrids may be considered for commercial cultivation after thorough testing. Similarly, Alkuddsi *et al.* (2013) and Tyagi *et al.* (2014) also identified superior F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for seed cotton yield plant<sup>-1</sup>.

For lint percentage, all the crosses showed positive heterosis, whereas only two crosses exhibited negative heterobeltiosis (Table 4). The top scoring hybrid with maximum heterosis (25.17%) over mid parent was NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-3701 while maximum heterobeltiosis (16.55%) was shown by BT-802 x Sadori. Significant positive heterosis for lint percentage was also noted by Tuteja and Agarwal (2013) and Solanki *et al.* (2014). Results regarding heterosis for staple length suggested that most of the crosses expressed positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis (Table 4). Nevertheless, the highest scoring hybrid was NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-3701 which gave high heterosis (18.05%) while BT-802 x IR-3701 expressed high heterobeltiosis (18.64%). Baloch *et al.* (2014) also reported similar findings about heterosis for staple length.

Table 1. Mean squares from line x tester analysis for different characters in upland cotton.

Source of Variation	Replications D.F. = 2	Genotypes D.F. = 14	Parents D.F. = 5	F <sub>1</sub> hybrids D.F. = 8	Parents x F <sub>1</sub> hybrids D.F. = 1	Error D.F. = 28
Plant height	24.05	168.51**	85.05**	130.27**	891.81**	7.96
Sympodial branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	1.60	20.86**	24.25 **	6.32**	120.27**	0.66
Bolls plant <sup>-1</sup>	19.34	92.43**	66.24**	5.89**	915.58**	2.56
Boll weight	0.007	0.16**	0.11**	0.05**	1.31**	0.01
Seed cotton yield plant <sup>-1</sup>	177.95	1798.10**	1097.09**	131.88**	18632.88**	20.05
Lint %	2.76	97.09**	89.94**	57.88**	62.00**	7.10
Staple length	0.82	12.73**	19.59**	9.78**	8.07**	1.23
Seed index	0.03	0.97**	1.45 **	0.79**	3.06 **	0.08

<sup>\*\*=</sup> significant at 1% probability level

Table 2. Mean performance of parents and  $F_1$  hybrids for various traits in upland cotton.

Plant height (cm)	Sympodial branches (plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Bolls (plant <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Boll weight (g)	Seed cotton yield (plant <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>1</sup> (g)	Lint %	Staple length (mm)	Seed Index (100 seeds weight, g)
120.27c	14.40d	25.20d	3.01ab	76.12f	42.15 a	22.14c	5.80c
132.20a	19.20bc	28.13bc	2.91cd	81.05e	45.25 a	24.80b	5.89c
132.21a	19.76bc	28.43bc	2.92bc	81.52d	42.73 a	25.33b	7.71a
124.27b	20.60ab	34.40ab	3.05ab	105.13b	41.49 a	30.00a	6.45b
118.07d	17.80c	32.53c	3.02ab	98.25c	31.13 b	24.73b	6.81b
118.60d	22.40a	35.33a	3.17a	111.21a	46.63 a	25.65b	6.51b
0.8576	0.9739	1.6402	0.0439	0.3019	2.4971	1.0431	0.2396
	1		T.			T	1
138.00a	14.40d	37.60c	3.21bc	120.23e	49.71bc	26.20bc	6.47b
138.40a	19.87c	39.93b	3.22b	137.94ab	47.83c	22.80e	6.45b
137.73a	23.20ab	40.33b	3.13c	126.99d	48.21c	25.47cd	5.43c
123.47b	20.13c	40.53b	3.29b	134.20bc	48.67c	27.67ab	6.43b
122.93b	23.27ab	40.07b	3.45a	137.94ab	43.59d	29.07a	7.10a
134.07a	22.40b	38.33c	3.45a	132.41c	39.11e	25.80cd	6.21b
136.33a	23.73a	39.80b	3.47a	140.98a	49.90a	26.13bc	6.56b
122.40b	23.20ab	41.73a	3.39a	138.25ab	49.80.ab	24.20de	7.05a
133.87b	22.93ab	41.93a	3.23b	135.89bc	48.73c	25.60cd	6.96a
2.7404	0.4791	0.4289	0.0400	2.2172	1.7133	0.8348	0.1709
	height (cm)  120.27c 132.20a 132.21a 124.27b 118.07d 118.60d 0.8576  138.40a 137.73a 123.47b 122.93b 134.07a 136.33a 122.40b 133.87b	height (cm) branches (plant <sup>-1</sup> )  120.27c 14.40d  132.20a 19.20bc  132.21a 19.76bc  124.27b 20.60ab  118.07d 17.80c  118.60d 22.40a  0.8576 0.9739   138.40a 19.87c  137.73a 23.20ab  123.47b 20.13c  122.93b 23.27ab  134.07a 22.40b  136.33a 23.73a  122.40b 23.20ab  133.87b 22.93ab	height (cm)         branches (plant¹)         Bolls (plant¹)¹           120.27c         14.40d         25.20d           132.20a         19.20bc         28.13bc           132.21a         19.76bc         28.43bc           124.27b         20.60ab         34.40ab           118.07d         17.80c         32.53c           118.60d         22.40a         35.33a           0.8576         0.9739         1.6402           138.40a         19.87c         39.93b           137.73a         23.20ab         40.33b           123.47b         20.13c         40.53b           122.93b         23.27ab         40.07b           134.07a         22.40b         38.33c           136.33a         23.73a         39.80b           122.40b         23.20ab         41.73a           133.87b         22.93ab         41.93a	height (cm)         branches (plant¹)         Bolls (plant¹)¹         Boll weight (g)           120.27c         14.40d         25.20d         3.01ab           132.20a         19.20bc         28.13bc         2.91cd           132.21a         19.76bc         28.43bc         2.92bc           124.27b         20.60ab         34.40ab         3.05ab           118.07d         17.80c         32.53c         3.02ab           118.60d         22.40a         35.33a         3.17a           0.8576         0.9739         1.6402         0.0439           138.40a         19.87c         39.93b         3.22b           137.73a         23.20ab         40.33b         3.13c           123.47b         20.13c         40.53b         3.29b           122.93b         23.27ab         40.07b         3.45a           134.07a         22.40b         38.33c         3.45a           136.33a         23.73a         39.80b         3.47a           122.40b         23.20ab         41.73a         3.39a           133.87b         22.93ab         41.93a         3.23b	Plant height (cm)         Sympodial branches (plant*)¹         Bolls (plant*)¹         Boll weight (g)         cotton yield (plant*)¹ (g)           120.27c         14.40d         25.20d         3.01ab         76.12f           132.20a         19.20bc         28.13bc         2.91cd         81.05e           132.21a         19.76bc         28.43bc         2.92bc         81.52d           124.27b         20.60ab         34.40ab         3.05ab         105.13b           118.07d         17.80c         32.53c         3.02ab         98.25c           118.60d         22.40a         35.33a         3.17a         111.21a           0.8576         0.9739         1.6402         0.0439         0.3019           138.40a         19.87c         39.93b         3.21bc         120.23e           137.73a         23.20ab         40.33b         3.13c         126.99d           123.47b         20.13c         40.53b         3.29b         134.20bc           122.93b         23.27ab         40.07b         3.45a         137.94ab           134.07a         22.40b         38.33c         3.45a         137.94ab           136.33a         23.73a         39.80b         3.47a         140.98a	Plant height (cm)         Sympodial branches (plant*)         Bolls (plant*)         Boll weight (g)         cotton yield (plant*)         Lint %           120.27c         14.40d         25.20d         3.01ab         76.12f         42.15 a           132.20a         19.20bc         28.13bc         2.91cd         81.05e         45.25 a           132.21a         19.76bc         28.43bc         2.92bc         81.52d         42.73 a           124.27b         20.60ab         34.40ab         3.05ab         105.13b         41.49 a           118.07d         17.80c         32.53c         3.02ab         98.25c         31.13 b           118.60d         22.40a         35.33a         3.17a         111.21a         46.63 a           0.8576         0.9739         1.6402         0.0439         0.3019         2.4971           138.40a         19.87c         39.93b         3.21bc         120.23e         49.71bc           138.40a         19.87c         39.93b         3.22b         137.94ab         47.83c           137.73a         23.20ab         40.33b         3.13c         126.99d         48.21c           122.93b         23.27ab         40.07b         3.45a         137.94ab         43.59d     <	Plant height (cm)         Sympodial branches (plant¹)         Bolls (plant)¹         Boll weight (g)         cotton yield (plant)¹ (g)         Lint %         Staple length (mm)           120.27c         14.40d         25.20d         3.01ab         76.12f         42.15 a         22.14c           132.20a         19.20bc         28.13bc         2.91cd         81.05e         45.25 a         24.80b           132.21a         19.76bc         28.43bc         2.92bc         81.52d         42.73 a         25.33b           124.27b         20.60ab         34.40ab         3.05ab         105.13b         41.49 a         30.00a           118.07d         17.80c         32.53c         3.02ab         98.25c         31.13 b         24.73b           118.60d         22.40a         35.33a         3.17a         111.21a         46.63 a         25.65b           0.8576         0.9739         1.6402         0.0439         0.3019         2.4971         1.0431           138.40a         19.87c         39.93b         3.21bc         120.23e         49.71bc         26.20bc           137.73a         23.20ab         40.33b         3.13c         126.99d         48.21c         25.47cd           122.93b         23.27ab         <

For seed index, six crosses produced positive heterosis, whereas five  $F_1$  hybrids revealed positive heterobeltiosis (Table 4). The cross BT-802 x Sadori produced maximum heterosis (13.76%) and heterobeltiosis (8.40%). The next high scoring hybrid was BT-802 x IR-3701 which expressed 11.81% and 4.31% heterosis and heterobeltiosis, respectively. Our findings are in accordance with those of Karademir and Gencer (2010) who also estimated heterosis and heterobeltiosis for seed index. The prevalence of additive gene effects for lint percentage, staple length and seed index proposed that considerable improvement for these traits could be made in segregating populations by approaching mass or pedigree method of breeding, which would enhance the frequency of desirable genes.

Table 3. Estimated heterosis and heterobeltiosis effects of  $F_1$  hybrids for yield related traits in upland cotton.

F <sub>1</sub> hybrids	Plant height		Sympodial branches (plant <sup>-1</sup> )		Bolls (plant <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>		Boll weight	
	Н	HB	H	HB	Н	HB	Н	HB
NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-2620	12.86	11.05	32.57	12.62	27.89	11.46	5.95	5.25
BT-802 x IR-2620	7.93	4.69	-0.84	-4.21	29.09	18.38	8.05	5.69
BT-703 x IR-2620	9.92	9.02	11.83	11.65	31.38	19.57	10.98	2.84
NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-3701	3.34	2.66	26.89	16.15	40.74	24.59	9.07	8.83
BT-802 x IR-3701	-2.01	-7.01	27.37	21.18	32.09	23.16	16.18	14.13
BT-703 x IR-3701	9.43	6.12	18.31	9.09	27.35	17.83	22.66	14.23
NIA-OKRA-01 x Sadori	14.15	13.36	28.99	5.95	26.62	5.29	12.53	9.68
BT-802 x Sadori	-2.39	-7.41	11.54	3.57	26.59	10.41	11.40	6.95
BT-703 x Sadori	9.31	5.97	7.45	2.98	28.11	10.93	9.60	-0.21

Note. H= Heterosis; HB= Heterobeltiosis

Table 4. Estimated heterosis and heterobeltiosis effects of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for yield and fiber traits in upland cotton.

F <sub>1</sub> hybrids	Seed cotton yield (plant <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>		Lint %		Staple length		Seed index	
	Н	HB	Н	HB	Н	HB	Н	HB
NIA-OKRA-01x IR-2620	32.86	12.33	12.51	6.30	0.50	-12.67	5.72	0.41
BT-802 x IR-2620	38.67	20.43	10.28	5.70	-16.79	-24.00	4.59	0.10
BT-703 x IR-2620	41.03	17.82	14.50	12.84	-7.95	-15.11	-23.26	-29.56
NIA-OKRA-01x IR-3701	55.70	37.30	25.17	4.36	18.05	11.86	2.06	-5.48
BT-802 x IR-3701	57.26	42.69	14.16	-3.65	17.36	18.64	11.81	4.31
BT-703 x IR-3701	56.36	36.16	5.92	-8.46	3.06	1.86	-14.42	-19.45
NIA-OKRA-01 x Sadori	43.87	17.06	20.47	14.68	9.36	1.87	6.61	0.82
BT-802 x Sadori	43.58	19.83	20.67	16.55	-4.07	-5.67	13.76	8.40
BT-703 x Sadori	42.34	14.53	14.81	14.04	0.42	-0.21	-2.11	-9.77

Note. H= Heterosis; HB= Heterobeltiosis

### CONCLUSION

Considering the mean performance among the parental lines, the variety Sadori and  $F_1$  hybrids BT-802 x IR-3701 and NIA-OKRA-01 x Sadori displayed better performance for various characters. As far as heterosis is concerned, the  $F_1$  hybrids NIA-OKRA-01 x IR-3701 and BT-802 x Sadori demonstrated superiority over their mid and better parents, respectively. Thus, above mentioned genotypes are valuable genetic stocks which can further be exploited for improvement in cotton crop.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors acknowledge the Director, Nuclear Institute of Agriculture, Tandojam for providing facilities at the experimental field and laboratory to carry out the current study.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Abro, S., M. M. Kandhro, S. Laghari, M. A. Arain and Z. A. Deho. 2009. Combining ability and heterosis for yield contributing traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Pak. J. Bot., 41 (4): 1769-1774.
- Abro, S., S. Laghari, Z. A. Deho and M. A. Manjh. 2014. To estimates heterosis and heterobeltosis of yield and quality traits in upland cotton. J. Bio. Agri. Health, 4 (6): 19-22.
- Aguiar, P. A. D., J. C. B. Penna, E. C. Freire and L. C. Melo. 2007. Diallel analysis of upland cotton cultivars. Crop Breed. App. Biotech., 7: 353-359.
- Alkuddsi, Y., S. S. Patil, S. M. Manjula, B. C. Patil, H. L. Nadaf and B. S. Nandihali. 2013. Heterosis performance of seed cotton yield and physiological parameters in F1 inter specific hybrids in cotton. Cotton Geno. Genet., 4 (5): 60-72.
- Baloch, M. J., J. A. Solangi, W. A. Jatoi, I. H. Rind and F. M. Halo. 2014. Heterosis and specific combining ability estimates for assessing potential crosses to develop F1 hybrids in upland cotton. Pak. J. Agric. Agril. Engg. Vet. Sci., 30 (1): 8-18.
- Fehr, W. R. 1987. Principles of cultivar development. Theory and Technique. Vol.1. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, U.S.A. pp.115.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez. 1984. Statistics for Agricultural Research (Second Edition). John Willey and Sons, New York.
- Grivet, L., A. Hont, D. Roques, P. Feldmann, C. Lanaud and J. C. Glaszmann. 1996. RFLP mapping in cultivated sugarcane (Saccharum spp.): genome organization in a highly polyploid and aneuploid interspecific hybrid. Genetics, 142 (3): 987-1000.
- Karademir, E. and O. Gencer. 2010. Combining ability and heterosis for yield and fiber quality properties in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) obtained by half diallel mating design. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj., 38 (1): 222-227.
- Marani, A. 1967. Heterosis and combining ability in intraspecific and interspecific crosses of cotton. Crop Sci., 7: 519-522.
- Patil, S. A., M. R. Naik, A. B. Patil and G. R. Chaugule. 2011. Heterosis for seed cotton yield and its contributing characters in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Plant Archives, 11 (1): 461-465.
- Rauf, S., T. M. Khan and S. Nazir. 2005. Combining ablility and heterosis in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Inter. J. Agri. Bio., 1: 109-113.
- Shah, K. A., N. U. Khan, L. Shah, A. Ali, A. Khan, X. Ying and Z. Abbas. 2015. Heterotic studies in 8 x 8 diallel crosses of upland cotton. Academic Res. J. Agric. Sci. Res., 3 (6): 128-136.
- Seoudy, E., A. Alia, N. Y. Abdel-Ghaffar, H. Y. Awad, A. Hady and I. M. S. Darweesh. 2014. Evaluation of some crosses for economic traits in cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 92 (1): 183-187.
- Solanki, H. V., D. R. Mehta, V. B. Rathod and M. G. Valu. 2014. Heterosis for seed cotton yield and its contributing characters in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Electronic J. Pl. Breed., 5 (1): 124-130.

- Tuteja, O. P. and M. Agrawal. 2013. Heterosis for seed cotton yield and other traits in GMS based hybrids of American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Cotton Res. J., 5 (2): 131-141.
- Tyagi, P., D. T. Bowman, F. M. Bourland, K. Edmisten, B. T. Campbell, D. E. Fraser, T. Wallace and B. Kuraparthy. 2014. Components of hybrid vigor in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and their relationship with environment. Euphy., 195 (1): 117-127.
- Vineela, N., J. S. V. S. Murthy, P. V. Ramakumar and S. R. Kumari. 2013. Heterosis for morpho- physiological studies in cotton. J. Nat. Sci., 1 (2): 53-64.

(Accepted: September 01, 2015)